



Crl.R.C.No.312 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 24.07.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

Crl.R.C.No.312 of 2024

Logesh

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatty Police Station,
Coimbatore District.
Crime No.252 of 2023

... Respondent

Prayer : Criminal Revision Petition filed under Section 397 r/w. 401 of Criminal Procedure Code, to set aside the order, dated 10.08.2023 made in C.M.P.No.3715 of 2023 on the file of the Judicial Magistrate, Sulur, Coimbatore.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.K.Vengadesh Durai Raja
for Mr.Deepanuday

For Respondent : Mr.A.Gopinath,
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)



Crl.R.C.No.312 of 2024

WEB COPY

ORDER

The Criminal Revision is filed, challenging the order, dated 10.08.2023 passed in C.M.P.No.3715 of 2023 by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sulur, Coimbatore.

2. The revision petitioner filed a petition in C.M.P.No.3715 of 2023 under Section 457 r/w. 451 of Cr.P.C., seeking interim custody of the vehicle viz., Bajaj CT-110 Two Wheeler bearing Registration No.TN-40-U-1125. The said petition was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sulur, Coimbatore, on 10.08.2023. Aggrieved over the said order, the criminal revision is preferred by the revision petitioner.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is the owner of the vehicle viz., Bajaj CT-110 Two Wheeler bearing Registration No.TN-40-U-1125 and the said vehicle was seized by the respondent Police relating to the case in Crime No.252 of 2023 for the alleged offence punishable under Sections 7(1) and 20(2) of Cigarette and Other Tobacco Products Act, 2003 and Section 328 IPC. The said



Crl.R.C.No.312 of 2024

vehicle is kept in the custody of Police in open space in the Police Station. If the vehicle is kept in an open space, the value of the same will diminish over the period of time. Therefore, he prayed for return of the vehicle.

4. Mr.A.Gopinath, learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent did not raise any serious objection to return the vehicle to the present petitioner.

5. At this juncture, it is relevant to refer a decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Sunderbhai Ambalal Desai and others Vs. State of Gujarat in Special Leave Petition (Crl.No.2745 of 2022) dated 01.10.2002***, wherein, the relevant portion is extracted hereunder.

Vehicles

“In our view, whatever be the situation, it is of no use to keep such seized vehicles at the police stations for a long period. It is for the Magistrate to pass appropriate orders immediately by taking appropriate bond and guarantee as well as security for return of the said vehicles, if required at any point of time. This can be done pending



CrI.R.C.No.312 of 2024

WEB COPY

hearing of applications for return of such vehicles.

In case where the vehicle is not claimed by the accused, owner, or the insurance company or by third person, then such vehicle may be ordered to be auctioned by the Court. If the said vehicle is insured with the insurance company, then insurance company be informed by the Court to take possession of the vehicle, which is not claimed by the owner or a third person. If insurance Company fails to take possession the vehicles may be sold as per the direction of the Court. The Court would pass such order within a period of six months from the date of production of the said vehicle before the Court. In any case, before handing over possession of such vehicles, appropriate photographs of the said vehicle should be taken and detailed panchanama should be prepared.”

6. Considering the fact that keeping the vehicle idle in an open space, would diminish the value of the vehicle over a period of time and considering the dictum laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, this Court is inclined to allow the Criminal Revision Case.



Crl.R.C.No.312 of 2024

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Case is allowed and the impugned order in C.M.P.No.3715 of 2023 dated 10.08.2023 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sulur, Coimbatore, is set aside and the vehicle shall be returned to the petitioner on the following conditions:

- i. the petitioner shall prove his ownership of the vehicle by producing the R.C.Book and other relevant records;
- ii. the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) before the trial Court.
- iii. the Court may prepare a panchanama in Judicial Form No.82 with regard to the vehicle bearing Registration No.TN-40-U-1125 and such panchanama can be used in evidence.
- iv. the petitioner shall take photograph of the vehicle bearing Registration No.TN-40-U-1125 and certify the same under Section 65B of the Central Act 1 of 1972 and such photographs may be used as secondary evidence.
- v. the petitioner shall not alienate or encumber the vehicle in any manner;



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.312 of 2024

- vi. the petitioner shall give an undertaking that he will not use the vehicle for any illegal activities in future,
- vii.the petitioner shall also produce the vehicle as and when required before the court below and before the respondent police.

24.07.2024

NCC: Yes/No
Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-Speaking order

ssb

Note: Issue order copy on **25.07.2024**.

To

1.The learned Judicial Magistrate, Sulur, Coimbatore.

Page 6 of 8



Crl.R.C.No.312 of 2024

2.The Inspector of Police,
Karumathampatty Police Station,
Coimbatore District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.R.C.No.312 of 2024

M.DHANDAPANI, J.

ssb

Crl.R.C.No.312 of 2024

24.07.2024