



S.A.Nos.97 & 98 of 2009

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.03.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

S.A.Nos.97 & 98 of 2009

and

M.P.No.1 of 2009

K.Kamalanathan

... Appellant in both S.As

vs.

A/M.Katchaleeswarar Devasthanam

Rep. by its Executive Officer,

No.77, Armenian Street,

Chennai – 600 001.

...Respondent in both

S.As

Prayer in both S.As:- Second Appeals filed under Section 100 of the Civil Procedure Code against the judgment and decree dated 29.10.2007 in A.S.No.679 of 2006 and 651 of 2006, respectively, passed by the learned III Additional Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai, confirming the judgment and decree dated 23.08.2005 in O.S.No.10551 of 1996 and 596 of 2003, respectively, passed by the learned V Assistant Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai.

For appellant in both S.As : No appearance

For respondent in both S.As : Mr.D.Ramalingam



S.A.Nos.97 & 98 of 2009

J U D G M E N T

WEB COPY When the matter was listed on 12.02.2024, 28.02.2024 and 15.03.2024, there was no representation on the side of the appellant. Therefore, the matter was directed to be posted “for dismissal”.

2. Even today, when the matter is posted under the caption “for dismissal”, there is no representation on the side of the appellant. It is evident that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting these Second Appeals.

3. Hence, these Second Appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

19.03.2024.

Internet : Yes

Index : Yes/No

Speaking order/Non-speaking order
apd



S.A.Nos.97 & 98 of 2009

To
WEB COPY

1. The III Additional Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai.
2. The V Assistant Judge, City Civil Court, Chennai.
3. The Section Officer, V.R. Section, High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



S.A.Nos.97 & 98 of 2009

G.ARUL MURUGAN,J

apd

S.A.Nos.97 & 98 of 2009

19.03.2024