



WEB COPY

W.P.Nos.3713 and 3717 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.02.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM

W.P.Nos.3713 and 3717 of 2021

and

W.M.P.Nos.4244 and 4245 of 2021

M/s.P.S.Apparels (IEC No.0488036003)

... Petitioner
in both WPs

Vs.

Deputy Director General of Foreign Trade,
4th Floor, Shastri Bhavan,
26, Haddows Road,
Chennai – 600 006.

... Respondent
in both WPs

Prayer in W.P.No.3713 of 2021: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records on the file of the Respondent in F.No. 04/21/021/00381/AM05 culminating in order dated 08.10.2020 passed by the Respondent, quash the same as illegal, arbitrary and devoid of merit.

Prayer in W.P.No.3717 of 2021: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records on the file of the Respondent in F.No. 04/21/021/00033/AM05 culminating in order dated 09.09.2020 passed by the Respondent, quash the same as



WEB COPY

W.P.Nos.3713 and 3717 of 2



illegal, arbitrary and devoid of merit.

For Petitioner	: Mr.Muthu Venkat Raman for Mr.Anand Sashidharan (in both W.Ps)
For Respondent	: Mr.S.Sathish Raja, CGSC (in both W.Ps)

COMMON ORDER

The order in original dated 08.10.2020 and 09.09.2020 passed by the Deputy Director General of Foreign Trade are under challenge in these writ petitions.

2. The orders impugned would indicate that a fiscal penalty in addition to the custom duty along with the interest has been imposed on the petitioner for non-compliance for the obligations.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that admittedly an Appeal has been contemplated under the provisions of the Act. Thus, the petitioner may be permitted to exhaust the appellate remedy as contemplated.

4. The learned Standing counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent



would submit that they have no objection for the purpose of preferring an Appeal. But the time limit fixed for preferring an Appeal has been expired.

WEB COPY

5. This Court is of the considered opinion that the disputed facts raised in these writ petitions with reference to the order in original deserves to be adjudicated before the Appellate Authority. The Appellate Authority is empowered to scrutinize the original document for the purpose of forming a final opinion. Thus, the importance of exhausting the appellate remedy at no circumstance may be undermined. The factual findings of the Original Authority would be of greater assistance to the High Court for the purpose of exercise of powers of judicial review in an effective manner.

6. In the present case, the petitioner has been given liberty to prefer an Appeal under Section 15 of the Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Act, 1992 read with the Foreign Trade (Development and Regulation) Amendment Act, 2010 as amended from time to time. The Appellate Authority is the Additional Director of Foreign Trade, Chennai. Thus, the petitioner is at liberty to prefer an Appeal within a period of 45 days from today. On receipt of any such Appeal, the Appellate Authority shall entertain the Appeal and condone the delay and decide the issues on



merits and in accordance with law by affording opportunity to the parties
and by following the procedures as contemplated.

WEB COPY

7. With the above directions, these Writ Petitions stand disposed of.

No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

06.02.2024

Jeni
Index : Yes
Speaking order

To

The Deputy Director General of Foreign Trade,
4th Floor, Shastri Bhavan,
26, Haddows Road,
Chennai – 600 006.



WEB COPY



W.P.Nos.3713 and 3717 of 2

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.

Jeni

W.P.Nos.3713 and 3717 of 2021

06.02.2024