



WEB COPY



W.P. No. 1221 of 2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 22.01.2024

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.D. AUDIKESAVALU

W.P. No. 1221 of 2024

and

W.M.P. No. 1264 of 2024

Sirajudeen

... Petitioner

-VS-

1. Tamilnadu Wakf Board
Rep. by its the Chairman
No.1, Jaffer Sirang Street
Vallal Seethakkaathi Nagar
Chennai - 600001.
2. The Chief Executive Officer
Tamilnadu Wakf Board
No.1, Jaffer Sirang Street
Vallal Seethakkaathi Nagar
Chennai - 600001.
3. The Superintendent of Wakf
Thanjavur Region
Office at Edga Masjid
Railway Colony Road
Thanjavur District - 613 001.

... Respondents

Prayer:- Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, direct the Respondents to appoint the Petitioner as hereditary Muthavalli of Hazarath Chanda Hussain Dharga, situated at Manambuchavadi, Thanjavur 613001 based on his representation dated 21.07.2023.



W.P. No. 1221 of 2024

For Petitioner : Mr. V.Vaithiyalingam

For Respondents : Mr. S.Haja Mohideen Gisti

WEB COPY

ORDER

Heard Mr. V.Vaithiyalingam, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner and Mr. S.Haja Mohideen Gisti, Learned Counsel for the Respondents and perused the materials placed on record, apart from the pleadings of the parties.

2. The Writ Petition has been filed for directing the Respondents to appoint the Petitioner as hereditary Muthavalli of Hazarath Chanda Hussain Dharga, situated at Manambuchavadi, Thanjavur – 613001, which is said to have been notified as a Public Waqf, based on his representation dated 21.07.2023.

3. It is not in dispute that the said Waqf is situated within the territorial limits of jurisdiction of the Madurai Bench of this Court. The only reason stated by the Petitioner for approaching the Principal Seat of this Court instead of Madurai Bench is that the office of the First Respondent is located in Chennai. There cannot be any doubt that the First Respondent exercise powers for the whole of the State of Tamil Nadu, but it cannot mean as if the cause of action has arisen within the territorial limits of jurisdiction of the Principal Seat of this



W.P. No. 1221 of 2024

Court at Chennai. Even if it is assumed that a part of cause of action has arisen within the territorial limits of jurisdiction of this Court, the principle of *forum conveniens* would come into play as held by the Division Bench of this Court in **C.Ramesh -vs- Director General of Police** (Order dated 06.06.2013 in W.P. (MD) No. 8790 of 2013), as follows:-

“7. *Exercise of jurisdiction is based on arising of the cause of action, either in whole or in part in any one of the said Revenue Districts. [See RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT ADVOCATES' ASSOCIATION Vs. UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS (2001 (2) SCC 294) and B.STALIN Vs. THE REGISTRAR, SUPREME COURT OF INDIA AND OTHERS (2012 (3) LW 489 (FB))].*

8. *It should be remembered that the part of cause of action must be substantial in nature. The territorial jurisdiction of the Court is linked with the place of accrual of cause of action. [See U.P. RASHTRIYA CHINI MILL ADHIKARI PARISHAD, LUCKNOW Vs. STATE OF U.P. AND OTHERS (1995 (4) SCC 738)].*

9. *Referring to KUSUM INGOTS & ALLOYS LTD. Vs. UNION*



W.P. No. 1221 of 2024

WEB COPY

OF INDIA (2004 (3) CTC 365), a Full Bench of this Court in

SANJOS JEWELLERS Vs. SYNDICATE BANK, BANGALORE

AND OTHERS (2007 (5) CTC 305), held as under:-

"30. We must, however, remind ourselves that even if a small part of cause of action arises within the territorial jurisdiction of the High Court, the same by itself may not be considered to be a determinative factor compelling the High Court to decide the matter on merit. In appropriate cases, the Court may refuse to exercise its discretionary jurisdiction by invoking the Doctrine of forum conveniens. [See BHAGAT SINGH BUGGA Vs. DEWAN JAGBIR SAWHNEY, AIR 1941 CAL 670 : ILR (1941) 1 CAL 490; MADANLAL JALAN Vs. MADANLAL, 1945 (49) CWN 357: AIR 1949 CAL 495; BHARAT COKING COAL LTD. Vs. JHARIA TALKIES & COLD STORAGE (P) LTD., 1997 CWN 122; S.S.JAIN & CO. Vs. UNION OF INDIA, 1994 (1) CHN 445, and NEW HORIZONS LTD. Vs. UNION OF INDIA, AIR 1994 DEL 126]."

10. Question of entertaining a lis disclosing a cause of action



W.P. No. 1221 of 2024

WEB COPY

or part of cause of action is based on the averments contained in the affidavit etc. At that stage, the truth or otherwise of the averments need not be gone into. But, there must be necessary averments disclosing a cause of action, so that the Court can take cognizance of/entertaining the lis exposed in the petition for taking further action. [See OIL AND NATURAL GAS COMMISSION Vs. UTPAL KUMAR BASU AND OTHERS (1994 (4) SCC 711)].

11. A Court cannot arrogate/assume/confer upon itself a jurisdiction-territorial jurisdiction, when it has no such jurisdiction. Lack of jurisdiction to entertain a matter goes to the root of the matter, otherwise whatever action taken or orders passed by the Court becomes a nullity, it is non est and of no consequence at all resulting in wasting of precious public time. Courts are barred from indulging in hypothetic and academic exercises.”

Having regard to the aforesaid legal position viz-a-viz factual matrix of this case, the cause of action for the Writ Petition, would have to be necessarily construed as having arisen wholly outside the territorial limits of jurisdiction of



W.P. No. 1221 of 2024

the Principal Bench of this Court, notwithstanding that the offices of the First Respondent is located in Chennai. Though obvious, it is made clear that no view has been expressed by this Court on the merits of the controversy involved in the matter.

4. When it is pointed out that the Writ Petition cannot be entertained in the Principal Seat of this Court in that backdrop, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw this Writ Petition with liberty to file fresh Writ Petition for the same relief before the Madurai Bench of this Court and he has made an endorsement to that effect in the court record.

In fine, the Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn granting such liberty. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed. No costs.

22.01.2024

Index: Yes/No

NCC: Yes/No

Note: Issue order copy by 05.02.2024.

Maya



W.P. No. 1221 of 2024

To

1. The Chairman
Tamilnadu Wakf Board
No.1, Jaffer Sirang Street
Vallal Seethakkaathi Nagar
Chennai - 600001.
2. The Chief Executive Officer
Tamilnadu Wakf Board
No.1, Jaffer Sirang Street
Vallal Seethakkaathi Nagar
Chennai - 600001.
3. The Superintendent of Wakf
Thanjavur Region
Office at Edga Masjid
Railway Colony Road
Thanjavur District - 613 001.



WEB COPY



W.P. No. 1221 of 2024

P.D. AUDIKESAVALU, J.

Maya

W.P. No. 1221 of 2024

22.01.2024