



WEB COPY

W.A.Nos.1669, 1670, 1672 & 1699 of 2013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 05.07.2024

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.M.SUBRAMANIAM
AND**

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR

W.A.Nos.1669, 1670, 1672 & 1699 of 2013

and

M.P.Nos.1, 1, 1, 1 & 1 of 2013

1.P.Perumal	... Appellant in WA.No.1669/2013
2.E.Johnson	... Appellant in WA.No.1670/2013
3.E.Sagunthala	... Appellant in WA.No.1672/2013
5.Murugesan	... Appellant in WA.No.1699/2013

Vs.

1.The Government of Tamil Nadu
Represented by its Secretary,
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai – 600 009.

2.The District Collector,
Collectorate Building,
Kancheepuram.



W.A.Nos.1669, 1670, 1672 & 1699 of 2013

3.The Tahsildar,
Tambaram Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

4.The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board,
Kamarajar Salai,
Chennai – 600 005.

5.The Superintending Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board Circle-II,
Chennai – 600 119.

... Respondents
in all WAs

6.The Tahsildar,
Tambaram Taluk,
Chennai – 45

... Respondent
in WA.No.1670/2013

Prayer in WA.No.1669/2013: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent to allow this writ appeal, set aside order dated 27.11.2012 in W.P.No.1664 of 2011.

Prayer in WA.No.1670/2013: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent to allow this writ appeal, set aside order dated 27.11.2012 in W.P.No.1385 of 2011.

Prayer in WA.No.1672/2013: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent to allow this writ appeal, set aside order dated 27.11.2012 in W.P.No.1665 of 2011.

Prayer in WA.No.1699/2013: Writ Appeal filed under Clause 15 of Letters



W.A.Nos.1669, 1670, 1672 & 1699 of 2013

Patent to allow this writ appeal, set aside order dated 27.11.2012 in W.P.No.3199 of 2011.

For Appellants	: Mr.K.Balakrishnan (in all WAs)
For R1 to R3	: Mr.A.Selvendran Special Government Pleader (in all WAs)
For R4 & R5	: Mr.B.Balaji Standing Counsel [For TNUHDB] (in all WAs)

COMMON JUDGMENT

[Judgment was delivered by **S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.**]

With reference to the relief sought for in the present Writ Appeals, 'the Tamil Nadu Urban Habitat Development Board' [erstwhile Slum Clearance Board] filed a status report, which would reveal that the alternate Tenements are provided to the Writ Appellants. The details regarding the allotment of Tenements provided to the appellants are detailed as under:-

“12. I submit that following are the persons received the alternate accommodation from the board;



W.A.Nos.1669, 1670, 1672 & 1699 of 2013

S.No.	Tenement No	Allottee	Appeal Case
1)	AE-14	Mariappan	WP.No.4936 of 2010
2)	AE-15	A.Munusamy	WP.No.4394 of 2010
3)	AE-16	Selvi	WP.No.4936 of 2010
4)	AE-17	David	WP.No.493 of 2010
5)	AE-18	Venkatammal	WP.No.4936 of 2010
6)	AE-19	Ponni	WP.No.4395 of 2010
7)	AE-20	Michael	WP.No.4395 of 2010
8)	AE-21	Singaravelu	WP.No.4394 of 2010
9)	AE-22	Palanisamy	WP.No.4394 of 2010
10)	AE-23	Nalathambi	WP.No.4936 of 2010
11)	AE-28	Jayalakshmi	WA.No.1936 of 2013
12)	AH-58	Ranganathan	WA.No.965 of 2013
13)	Ah-59	Verramuthu	WA.No.963 of 2013
14)	AH-60	Jeyalakshmi	WP.No.1386 of 2011
15)	AH-55	Vijaya	WA.No.546 of 2013
16)	AH-56	Lalitha	WP.No.3200 of 2011
17)	AG-40	Amsa	WP.No.1381 of 2011
18)	AG-41	Muniyammal	WA.No.544 of 2013
19)	AG-42	Susila	WA.No.2881 of 2012
20)	AH-47	M.Murugesan	WA.No.1825 of 2013
21)	AB-2	E.Anitha	WA.No.1842 of 2013

The above persons were allotted alternative accommodation in the Perumbakkam Ezhil Nagar scheme.

13. I submit that as stated supra, the board has issued allotment vide Board Proceedings G9/4799/2013 dated 12.12.2014 to the rest, of 50 persons for the alternative



W.A.Nos.1669, 1670, 1672 & 1699 of 2013

accommodation, but till date they have not come forward to receive the same from the Board. In compliance of the direction of this Hon'ble Court passed in the writ petition to issue alternative accommodation to the Writ petitioner, the Board has taken necessary steps in order to comply the order of this Hon'ble Court and the same was complied in true spirit and Board has issued alternative accommodation to the respective eligible persons.

14. I submit that, the following are the 50 persons who have not come forward to receive allotment order from the Board and have filed the writ appeal for the alternative accommodation till date and has directed by this Hon'ble Court the Board is ready with the tenements since 12.12.2014."

Board Proceedings No.G9/4799/2013 dated 12.12.2014		
S.No.	Petitioner / Appellant	Details of the Case
1)	Sivashanmugam	W.A.No.543/2013
2)	Haja Mohideen	W.A.No.545/2013
3)	Vijaya	W.A.No.546/2013
4)	Kumar	W.A.No.966/2013
5)	Lakshmi	W.A.No.967/2013
6)	Johnson	W.A.No.1670/2013
7)	Perumal	W.A.No.1669/2013
8)	Sagunthala	W.A.No.1672/2013
9)	Gomathi	W.A.No.964/2013
10)	Murugan	W.A.No.1699/2013
11)	Yasmin	W.A.No.1820/2013
12)	Sivanandam	W.A.No.1871/2013
13)	Raj Kumar	W.A.No.1837/2013



W.A.Nos.1669, 1670, 1672 & 1699 of 2013

S.No.	Petitioner / Appellant	Details of the Case
14)	Vanaroja	W.A.No.1836/2013
15)	Asen	W.A.No.1838/2013
16)	Shamugam	W.A.No.1815/2013
17)	Raman	W.A.No.1839/2013
18)	M.Yasmin	W.A.No.1840/2013
19)	Naseera Begum	W.A.No.1866/2013
20)	Sankar	W.A.No.1843/2013
21)	Dhilsath Begum	W.A.No.1867/2013
22)	Natarasan	W.A.No.1841/2013
23)	Stephen	W.A.No.1822/2013
24)	Karpagam	W.A.No.1823/2013
25)	Manarsamy	W.A.No.1824/2013
26)	Inbasekar	W.A.No.1826/2013
27)	Shamsudeen	W.A.No.1827/2013
28)	Abdul Khader	W.A.No.1829/2013
29)	Suresh	W.A.No.1828/2013
30)	Fazial Hussain	W.A.No.1870/2013
31)	Babu	W.A.No.1816/2013
32)	Nabeesath	W.A.No.1831/2013
33)	Selvakumar	W.A.No.1830/2013
34)	Meharunnisa	W.A.No.1832/2013
35)	Yosuf Sheik	W.A.No.1863/2013
36)	Khaleel Ibrahim	W.A.No.1864/2013
37)	Saroja	W.A.No.1818/2013
38)	Sabiya	W.A.No.1819/2013
39)	Raja Mohammed	W.A.No.1817/2013
40)	Rahmathunnisa	W.A.No.1821/2013
41)	Sabiral Beevi	W.A.No.1834/2013
42)	Rahimath Beevi	W.A.No.1862/2013
43)	Mohammed Jalal	W.A.No.1861/2013
44)	Rajendran	W.A.No.1833/2013



W.A.Nos.1669, 1670, 1672 & 1699 of 2013

S.No.	Petitioner / Appellant	Details of the Case
45)	Muthu	W.A.No.1860/2013
46)	Ganeshan	W.A.No.1835/2013
47)	Shanmugam	W.A.No.1859/2013
48)	Mala	W.A.No.1865/2013
49)	Piranmalai	W.A.No.1868/2013
50)	Mohammed Raffiq	W.A.No.1869/2013

2. The writ appellants, on payment of beneficiary cost, the Tamil Nadu Urban Habitat Development Board will allot Tenement to the writ appellants by following due process. Therefore, the writ appellants are at liberty to pay the beneficiary cost to the Urban Habitat Development Board and on receipt of payment, the allotment is to be made. In respect of the appellants, who have already been allotted tenement are not entitled to seek any further allotment.

3. In view of the fact that the Urban Habitat Development Board is providing alternate allotment to all the writ appellants, no further adjudication needs to be undertaken in respect of the grounds raised in the present Writ Appeals. Accordingly, the Writ Appeals stand disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.



WEB COPY



W.A.Nos.1669, 1670, 1672 & 1699 of 2013

[S.M.S., J.] [N.S., J.]

05.07.2024

(1/2)

Jeni

Index : Yes

Speaking order

Neutral Citation : Yes

To

- 1.The Secretary,
The Government of Tamil Nadu
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George,
Chennai – 600 009.
- 2.The District Collector,
Collectorate Building,
Kancheepuram.
- 3.The Tahsildar,
Tambaram Taluk,
Tambaram,
Kancheepuram District.
- 4.The Chairman,
Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board,
Kamarajar Salai,
Chennai – 600 005.
- 5.The Superintending Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board Circle-II,
Chennai – 600 119.



WEB COPY



W.A.Nos.1669, 1670, 1672 & 1699 of 2013

S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
and
N.SENTHILKUMAR, J.

Jeni

W.A.Nos.1669, 1670, 1672 & 1699 of 2013

05.07.2024
(1/2)