



A.No.4524 of 2011
in O.P.No.838 of 2000

WEB COPY

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN,J.

The matter is referred back to the learned Master for recording of evidence as directed earlier.

2.The petitioners in A.No.4524 of 2011 should appear before the learned Master on 17.10.2022 to lead evidence.

3.Thereafter, the learned Master may record evidence in manner known to law. While leading evidence, it must also be noted that the petitioner had filed A.No.2417 of 2022 to produce additional documents. That application had been allowed by a learned Single Judge of this Court on 11.08.2022.

4.Mr.T.Mathi, learned counsel for the respondent had raised an issue, since the application has been allowed, it should not give a carte blanche for all documents to be marked when produced as evidence. The normal restrictions of admissibility and the document being proved in manner known to law would still apply.

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN,J.



Smv

WEB COPY

5.The learned Master should view the documents produced, examine whether they are admissible and thereafter, if they are admissible mark them. The issue whether they had been proved in manner known to law and whether they are relevant and true and genuine documents can be advanced during the course of argument in the main application.

6.The Registry may delete the name of Mr.T.K.Kulasekaran and Mr.S.Ramesh Kumar, who are shown as counsels of the applicant since they had given change of vakalat and now Mr.R.Rajamani had entered appearance.

7.List the matter before this Court whenever evidence is recorded and completed by the learned Master.

11.10.2022

smv

A.No.4524 of 2011
in O.P.No.838 of 2000