



C.M.A.No.561 of 2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.10.2024

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE J.NISHA BANU
and
THE HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE R.KALAIMATHI

C.M.A.No.561 of 2022
and
C.M.P.No.4070 of 2022

S.Karthick
S/o.Sekar

..Appellant

Vs.

T.Gowri
D/o.Thiyagarajan

..Respondent

Prayer: The Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is filed under Section 19 of Family Courts Act against the order passed in I.A.No.3 of 2021 in H.M.O.P.No.412 of 2020 passed by the Family Court Judge, Salem, dated 14.12.2021.

For Appellant : Mr.S.Parthasarathy

J U D G M E N T



C.M.A.No.561 of 2022

(The judgment of the Court was made by J.Nisha Banu,J and R.Kalaimathi,J.)

WEB COPY

This Civil Miscellaneous Appeal has been filed by the appellant/husband challenging the order dated 14.12.2021 passed in I.A.No.3 of 2021 in H.M.O.P.No.412 of 2020 by the Family Court, Salem.

2. As per Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, an appeal against the interlocutory application will not lie and an appeal will lie only as against the judgment or order of the Family Court. Further, in the case of ***G.V.N.S.Siva Prasad vs. V.Jyostna Devi*** made in ***C.M.A.No.1018 of 2022 dated 27.03.2024***, the Division Bench of this Court, after referring to the judgment of ***S.Menaka v. K.S.K. Nepolian Socraties and other cases (Batch)*** reported in ***2024:MHC:1405*** (Neutral Citation of Madras High Court) and ***2024 Live Law (Mad) 126*** held that as against the interlocutory applications/ interim maintenance, only Civil Revision Petition under 227 of the Constitution of India, would lie and not Civil Miscellaneous Appeal.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this appeal. He has also made an endorsement to that effect



C.M.A.No.561 of 2022

in the case bundle.
WEB COPY

4. In the light of the aforesaid judgment and in view of the endorsement made by the learned counsel for the appellant, this Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file Civil Revision Petition. On filing of the CRP, for the purpose of limitation, the period spent in prosecuting the CMA shall be excluded.

5. If the learned counsel for the appellant requests for return of certified copy of the impugned order, the same shall be returned to him forthwith under due acknowledgement. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

(J.N.B., J.) (R.K.M., J.)
14.10.2024

vsi

To

The Family Court,
Salem.



WEB COPY



C.M.A.No.561 of 2022

**J. NISHA BANU, J.
and
R.KALAIMATHI, J.**

vsi

C.M.A.No.561 of 2022

14.10.2024