IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 16464/2024
Decided on:30.12.2024

Sunil Kumar …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ….Respondents.

……

Coram
Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner:	**Mr. Surinder Prakash Sharma, Advocate.**
For the respondents:	**Mr. Dalip K. Sharma, Additional Advocate General.**

***Jyotsna Rewal Dua* , J**

Notice. Mr. Dalip K Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. This writ petition has been filed for the grant of following substantive relief:-

> *"That writ of mandamus may kindly be issued, directing the respondents to grant benefit of earned leave to the petitioner from the due date, in view of the judgment dated 08.08.2023 rendered by this Hon'ble Court in CWP No.5043/2022, titled as Sunita Sagroli Vs.State of H.P. (Annexure P-1) and judgment dated 01.12.2022 passed by this Hon'ble Court in CWP No.7359/2021, titled as Amita Gupta Vs/ State of H.P. & Ors. (Annexure P-2).*

[1] *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

3. Admittedly, the petitioner has invoked extra ordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India without even preferring any representation to the competent authority for the redresssal of his grievances raised in the writ petition.

4. Confronted with above, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be preferring a representation within two weeks from today. In case, such representation is so preferred, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same, in accordance with law, within further period of four weeks. The order so passed shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

5. The instant petition is disposed of in above terms. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

30th December, 2024(rohit)