IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.16168 of 2024
Date of Decision: 26.12.2024

Urmila

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioner: Mr. Vikrant Chandel, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Rajan Kahol, Mr. Vishal Panwar and Mr. B.C. Verma, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No. 1 and 2.
Mr. Aman Sood, Advocate, for respondents No.3 and 4.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file detailed representation to respondent No.1 for redressal of her grievances with direction to afore authority to decide the same expeditiously.

2. While putting in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2, Mr. Vishal Panwar, learned Additional Advocate General states that representation, if any, filed in terms of the instant order shall be decided expeditiously in accordance with law.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, present petition is disposed of with direction to the petitioner to file detailed

representation within a period of two weeks to respondent No.1, who in turn shall decide the same within four weeks. Needless to say, authority concerned while doing the needful, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereupon. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings before appropriate court of law. All pending applications stand disposed of.

December 26, 2024 **(Sandeep Sharma),**
manjit **Judge**