IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.15779 of 2024

Date of Decision: 21.12.2024

Neeta Ram and others .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others ... Respondents

Coram:
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Daleep Chand, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law Officer.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, states that the petitioners would be content and satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 31.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners in light of judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 2004 of 2017 alongwith connected matters, titled **Taj Mohammad and others vs. State of H.P. and others**, decided on 03.08.2023, in a time bound manner.

2. Learned Additional Advocate General, while putting appearance on behalf of the respondents, fairly states that if decision

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

on the aforesaid representation has been not taken, same shall be taken expeditiously.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the pending representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks in terms of the judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in **Taj Mohammad** case (supra). Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and pass speaking order thereupon. Liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file appropriate proceedings in appropriate court of law, if they still remain aggrieved. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

December 21, 2024
(shankar)