IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.15806 of 2024

Date of Decision: 21.12.2024

Rajesh Guleria & another .....Petitioners

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & another. … Respondents

Coram:
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the Petitioner:	Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Hemant Kumar Thakur, Advocate.
For the Respondents:	Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law Officer.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem that case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the judgment dated 03.08.2023 passed by Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 2004 of 2017, titled as **Taj Mohammad and others vs. State of H.P. and others**.

2. Having perused the averments contained in the petition, especially relief clause vis-à-vis judgment dated 03.08.2023 passed by Division Bench of this Court in **Taj Mohammad** case (supra), this Court finds that case of the petitioners is identical to the aforesaid case decided by Division Bench of this Court. Vide aforesaid

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

judgment, Division Bench of this Court has already dealt with all the points raised in the instant petition and as such, respondents can be directed to decide the case of the petitioners in light of the aforesaid judgment rendered by Division Bench of this Court.

3. Consequently, In view of the above, the directions contained in the aforesaid judgment rendered by Division Bench of this Court in **Taj Mohammad** case (supra) are ordered to be made *mutatis mutandis* applicable in the present case for all intents and purposes.

In the aforesaid terms, present petition is disposed of alongwith pending application(s), if any.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

December 21, 2024
(shankar)