IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

COPC No.13991 of 2024
Date of Decision: 21.12.2024

Pawan Kumar .....**Petitioner**

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors. .....**Respondent**

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?

For the Petitioners: Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate.

For the respondent: Mr. Pushpinder Jaswal, learned Additional Advocate General, with Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law Officer.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner would be content and satisfied in case direction is issued to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 01.09.2024 ***(Annexure P-4)*** of the petitioner in the light of judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 2004 of 2017 alongwith connected matters, titled **Taj Mohammad & Ors. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors**. decided on 03.08.2023, in a time bound manner.

2. Learned Additional Advocate General, while putting appearance on behalf of the respondents, fairly states that if decision

on the aforesaid representation has been not taken, same shall taken expeditiously.

3. Consequently, in view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 01.09.2024 ***(Annexure P-4)*** of the petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of six weeks in terms of the judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in ***Taj Mohammad*** case (supra). Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass speaking order thereafter. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceeding in appropriate Court of law, if she still remains aggrieved.

December 21, 2024 **(Sandeep Sharma),**
(Sunil) **Judge**