IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 7986 of 2023

Decided on: 19.11.2024

Anita Devi … Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and others …Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner : Mr. Vinay Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondent : Mr. Sumit Sharma, Dy. AG.

Ajay Mohan Goel, Judge *(Oral)*

Notice. Mr. Sumit Sharma, learned Deputy Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the prayer made herein is squarely covered by the judgment passed by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 2004 of 2017 alongwith connected matters, titled *Taj Mohammad and others vs. State of H.P. and others*, decided on 03.08.2023. He further submits it would be in the interest of justice, in case, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a representation within a period of two weeks from today with direction that let said representation of the petitioner be decided by the Competent Authority, in terms of the averments made in the representation as

[1] *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

well as the judgments being relied upon by the petitioner therein.

3. Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, this petition is disposed of with the direction that in the event of the petitioner filing a representation within two weeks from today, the same be decided by the Competent Authority, in view of the averments made therein, taking into consideration the judgment(s) being relied upon by the petitioner therein, by passing a speaking order, within a period of six weeks from today.

4. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

(Ajay Mohan Goel)
Judge

November, 19, 2024
(rishi)