IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

COPC No.583 of 2024
Date of decision: 08.11.2024

Moti Ram. ...Petitioner.

Versus

Rakesh Kanwar & Ors. ...Respondents.

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the petitioner	:	Mr. Jagmohan Chandel, Advocate, vice counsel.
For the respondents	:	Mr. L.N. Sharma and Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocates General with Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan and Ms. Leena Guleria, Deputy Advocates General, for the respondents-State.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

In terms of the judgment alleged to have been violated by the respondents, following operative directions were issued:-

> *"4. Therefore, for the reasons alike, this writ petition is also allowed and respondents are directed to reinstate the petitioner into the service and permit him to continue till he attains the age of 60 years."*

2. Learned vice counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been re-engaged by the respondents in compliance to the above judgment. His grievance is that

the petitioner has not been released the benefits flowing to him from the decision rendered in ***Satya Devi Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[1]. If that is so, it is for the petitioner to seek appropriate remedy for redressal of his remaining grievances.

Taking note of the statement made by learned vice counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been now reinstated by the respondents in service, these contempt proceedings are closed. Notices issued to the respondents are discharged. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, to also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

8th November, 2024
(Pardeep)

[1]CWP No.2274/2021 a/w connected matters decided on 28.05.2024