IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. : 7791 of 2022

Decided on : 20.12.2024

Mahinder Kumar and Anr. ....Petitioners.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors.

...Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Acting Chief Justice.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satyen Vaidya, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioners : Mr. Kulwant Singh Gill, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Sushant Keprate, Additional Advocate General, for respondent No. 1.

: Mr. Mukul Sood, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Acting Chief Justice *(Oral)*

Evidently, the terms of the period of tender have come to an end. Learned counsel for the

---

[1] *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

petitioners would argue that his clients have suffered loss only on account of non-assessment on the part of the respondents. This is a matter which can only be agitated by leading evidence to this effect and obviously this Court is not the Forum where such matters can be agitated, given the fact that there are disputed questions of facts.

2. Accordingly, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant petition as having been rendered infructuous, with liberty to the petitioners to avail appropriate legal remedy for recovery of amount, if any, as per law. Likewise, respondents shall also be at liberty to avail such remedy for recovery of loss, if any, caused to them before competent Court of law.

3. Pending miscellaneous application(s) if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Acting Chief Justice

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20th December, 2024
(sushma)

Neutral Citation No. (2024:HHC:15413-DB)