IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

CWP No.5608 of 2023
Decided on: 27th December, 2024

Ranbir Singh Sharma ....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors . ...Respondents

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner:	Mr. Virender Chauhan, Advocate vice Mr. Surinder K. Saklani, Advocate.
For the respondents:	Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

This writ petition has been filed for grant of following substantive reliefs:-

> *"a) That a writ in the nature of certiorari may very kindly be issued and impugned order dated 24.3.2023 as contained in Annexure P-6 may very kindly be quashed and set aside.*
>
> *b) That a writ in the nature of mandamus may very kindly be issued thereby directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner immediately*

---

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? yes*

after completion of six years of continuous service on contract basis, i.e. in the year 2015 w.e.f. 31.03.2015 with all consequential benefits and accordingly pay be refixed".

2. Petitioner has assailed office order dated 24.03.2023 (Annexure P-6), wherein, his request to consider him as regular employee w.e.f. 31.03.2015 was declined. Petitioner has sought directions to regularize his services on completion of six years of continuous service on contract basis i.e. w.e.f. 31.03.2015.

3. Respondents have not filed reply, but have placed on record office instructions dated nil of December, 2024 from the Director Elementary Education, H.P.. As per these instructions, services of the petitioner have now been regularized from the date of initial appointment by the respondent-Education Department vide office order dated 05.10.2024. Copy of this office order dated 05.10.2024, has also been appended alongwith the office instructions. Name of the petitioner figures at Sr. No. 17. As per office order, petitioner is to be considered as deemed regular employee of the State from the date he was initially appointed/joined on contract basis with all consequential benefits, though grant of

monetary benefits has been restricted on notional basis. Petitioner's services have been deemed to be on regular basis w.e.f. 20.12.2008.

4. In view of above, petition stands disposed of as the relief prayed for by the petitioner stands granted to him. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to seek appropriate remedy as may be available to him in law, for redressal of his surviving grievances, if any.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, to also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

December 27, 2024
R.Atal