(2024:HHC:6277)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.571 of 2024

Date of Decision : 01.08.2024

Raj Kumar and others …… **Petitioners**

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and others ……**Respondents**

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vivek Singh Thakur, Judge

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Bipin Chander Negi, Judge

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners : Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Vivek Singh Thakur, Judge (oral)

Referring the interim orders passed by the Apex Court in various Special Leave Petitions preferred by the respondents-State, i.e., ***Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.10788/ 2023***, *arising out of* ***LPA No.21/2023,*** titled ***The State of Himachal Pradesh and others vs. Puran Chand and others; Special Leave Petition (Civil) Nos.3348/2024***, *arising out of* ***CWP No.2366 of 2023*****,** titled ***Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar Horticulture & Forestry University vs. Nek Ram*** *along-with* connected matters; ***Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No.14765/2024***, arising out of ***CWP No.2915/2020***, titled ***State of Himachal Pradesh & others vs. Kailash Chand*** *along-with* connected

---

[1] **Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**

matters; ***Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No.1552/2024***, titled ***HPSEB Ltd. and Anr. vs. Sushil Kumar***, *along-with* connected matters, including the interim order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in a petition arising out of ***LPA No.165 of 2021***, titled ***State of H.P. and others vs. Surajmani and others*** *along-with* connected matters, learned Additional Advocate General submits that similarly situated persons have been extended benefits of similar judgments, but prior to passing of interim orders passed by the Apex Court and further that after passing of above referred interim orders by the Apex Court, no actual benefits have been extended by the Department to any person/individual, except passing of Office Orders in some cases but subject to final outcome of SLPs preferred before the Supreme Court.

2. In view of above, especially for copies of interim orders placed on record, matter is closed and disposed of, with liberty to the petitioners to revive it, if occasion arises to do so or to file afresh if so advised.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

(Bipin Chander Negi)
Judge

August 01, 2024 **(KS)**