IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWPOA No.5876 of 2020

Date of Decision: 02.04.2024

Jai Parkash

....Petitioner

Versus

The Principal Secretary, Animal Husbandry and others

…Respondents

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sushil Kukreja, Judge

Whether approved for reporting?[1] No.

For the petitioner : Ms. Ritu Raj Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. I.N. Mehta, Senior Additional Advocate General with Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Ms. Sharmila Patial, Additional Advocates General and Mr. Raj Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No.1 & 2/State.

Mr. Bhim Raj Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Tarlok Singh Chauhan, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel representing the petitioner states that she may be permitted to withdraw from the case as despite repeated written communications, the petitioner is not turning up.

---

1 Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

One of the registered posts is being handed over in the open Court, yet the petitioner has not turned up, hence, the learned counsel is permitted to withdraw from the case.

2. Since there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, therefore, this Court is left with no option, but to dismiss the present petition for want of appearance and prosecution. Ordered accordingly.

Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge

April 02, 2024
(VH)