IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 1814 of 2024 a/w CWP Nos. 1815, 1816, 1908, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205 and 2206 of 2024

Decided on: 20.03.2024

1.CWP No. 1814 of 2024

Shubh Karan. ....Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. ...Respondents.

2.CWP No. 1815 of 2024

Jeevan Singh. ....Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. ...Respondents.

3.CWP No. 1816 of 2024

Kewal Krishan. ....Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. ...Respondents.

4.CWP No. 1908 of 2024

Jeet Singh. ....Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

5.CWP No. 1998 of 2024

Ishwar Dass. ….Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

6.CWP No. 1999 of 2024

Jagmohan Sharma. ….Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

7.CWP No. 2000 of 2024

Kuldeep Chand. ….Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

8.CWP No. 2001 of 2024

Rumal Singh. ….Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

9.CWP No. 2200 of 2024

Tarsem Lal. ….Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

10.CWP No. 2201 of 2024

Surjeet Kumar. ….Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

11.CWP No. 2202 of 2024

Satpal. ….Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

12.CWP No. 2203 of 2024

Meena Ram. ….Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

13.CWP No. 2204 of 2024

Madan Singh. ….Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

14.CWP No. 2205 of 2024

Sunil Singh. ….Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

15.CWP No. 2206 of 2024

Naresh Kumar. ….Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. …Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satyen Vaidya, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner(s) : Mr. Suresh Singh Saini and Mr. Rajendera Gulati, Advocates.

For the respondents : Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocate General,

---

Satyen Vaidya, Judge *(Oral)*

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the case of the petitioner(s) is squarely

---

1 *Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

covered by the judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in ***LPA No. 153 of 2023***, titled as ***State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.*** Vs. ***Sh. Sant Ram and Anr.,*** decided on ***07.10.2023***. He further submits that petitioner(s) shall be content in case the respondents are directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioner(s) in time bound manner in light of judgment *ibid*.

3. Prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

4. Accordingly, these petitions are disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the case of the petitioner(s) in light of judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in LPA No. 153 of 2023, within eight weeks from today by passing a detailed and reasoned order. In case, the petitioner(s) are found similarly situated as respondents in LPA No. 153 of 2023, they will also be granted the same benefits as granted to respondents in above referred case.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20th March, 2024
(sushma)