IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 171 of 2024
Decided on: 4.1.2024

Veena Devi ...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & ors. …Respondents

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner : Mr. Ravinder Singh Chandel and Ms. Pooja Thakur, Advocates.

For the respondents : Mr. Vishal Panwar, Additional Advocate General for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge (oral)

Notice. Mr. Vishal Panwar, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. M.L. Sharma, learned counsel, appear and waive service of notice

[1] Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 & respondent No.3, respectively.

2. With the consent of the parties, the instant petition is taken up for disposal, at this stage, in view of the order intended to be passed herein.

3. Learned counsel for the parties state that the dispute raised in the instant petition, has been adjudicated by this Court in case **Anant Ram & Ors. v. State of H.P. and others**, CWPOA No. 1660 of 2020, decided on 15.6.2023, as such, petitioner would be content and satisfied in case a direction is issued to the respondents to consider and decide case of the petitioner in light of aforesaid judgment.

4. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to the aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

5. Having taken note of the issue raised in the petition vis-à-vis judgment relied upon by learned counsel for the petitioner, this court finds that the issue has been adjudicated by this Court in **Anant Ram**,

supra, as such no prejudice would be caused to either of parties, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in light of **Anant Ram,** supra.

6. Consequently, in view of above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, expeditiously, preferably within a period of twelve weeks from today, in light of **Anant Ram** supra. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of this order, shall afford opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a speaking order thereafter. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings, before appropriate court of law, if they still remain aggrieved.

7. The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms, alongwith all pending applications, if any.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

January 4, 2024
(mamta)