IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 18TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2024

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM

MISCL. FIRST APPEAL NO.203847 OF 2024 (LAC)

BETWEEN:

1. THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITON OFFICER,
   UKP, ALMATTI, TQ: B. BAGEWADI,
   DIST: VIJAYAPUR
2. THE SPECIAL DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
   KBJNL, NAVANAGAR, BAGALKOT
3. THE COMMISSIONER, KBJNL,
   NAVANAGAR, BAGALKOT

...APPELLANTS

(BY SRI. Y.H. VIJAYAKUMAR, AAG ALONG WITH

SRI VEERANAGOUDA MALIPATIL, HCGP)

AND:

Digitally signed by RENUKA
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

PANDGRU S/O BHIMA PANDRE
(SINCE DECEASED BY HIS L.RS)

1A. SADU S/O PANGRU PANDRE
AGE: 47 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,

1B. MAHADEV S/O PANGRU PANDRE,
AGE: 45 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE

1C. BAGABAI D/O PANGRU PANDRE,
AGE: 41 YEARS, OCC: HH WORK

1D. DURGABAI W/O PANGRU PANDRE,
AGE: 67 YEARS, OCC: HH WORK,

ALL ARE R/O: KHILARAHATTI,

TQ: BABLESHWAR, DIST: VIJAYAPUR-586212

…RESPONDENTS

THIS MFA IS FILED U/S 74(1) OF RIGHT TO FAIR COMPENSATION AND TRANSPARENCY IN LAND ACQUISITION, REHABILITATION AND RESETTLEMENT ACT, PRAYING TO, A) CALL FOR THE RECORDS IN LAC NO. 1914/2021 PASSED BY THE LEARNED I ADDL. DISTRICT JUDGE AND LAND ACQUISITION REHABILITATION AND RESETTLEMENT AUTHORITY, VIJAYAPURA DT. 25.01.2024 B) ALLOW THE ABOVE APPEAL AND AWARD DT. 01.02.2024 PASSED BY THE LEARNED I ADDL. DISTRICT JUDGE AND THE LAND ACQUISITION REHABILITATION AND RESETTLEMENT AUTHORITY, VIJAYAPURA.

THIS APPEAL COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM)

The present appeal, filed under Section 74(1) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, challenges the judgment dated 25.01.2024 in LAC No.1914/2021. However, the appeal is filed after a delay of 203 days beyond the statutory limitation period of 120 days prescribed under Section 74(1) of the Act. This Court, following the Division Bench ruling in MFA

No.102543/2022 dated 23.09.2024, holds that the limitation period under the Act is mandatory and non-extendable. Relying on precedents, including **In *Union of India vs. Popular Construction Co.*[1]** and ***State of Kerala vs. V.R. Kalliyanikutty*[2]** , the appeal is dismissed as time-barred, without addressing the merits. Parties shall bear their respective costs.

In view of disposal of main appeal, pending applications, if any, stand rejected.

The Court fee paid, if any, shall be refunded in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM)
JUDGE

Srt
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 4
CT-AK

[1] 2001 (8) SCC 470

[2] 1999 (3) SCC 657