IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 17TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2024

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM

MISCL. FIRST APPEAL NO. 202913 OF 2024 (LAC)

BETWEEN:

1. THE SPECIAL LAND ACQUISITION OFFICER
   UKP, ALMATTI,
   TQ: B.BAGEWADI,
   DISTRICT VIJAYAPURA.

2. THE COMMISSIONER
   REHABILITATION AND RESETTLEMENT,
   SECRETARY FOR LAND ACQUISITION DIVISION,
   GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA.

...APPELLANTS

(BY SRI. Y.H.VIJAYAKUMAR, AAG A/W SMT. ARATI PATIL, HCGP)

AND:

Digitally signed by AL BHAGYA
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATKA

MALLAPPA S/O GANESHAPPA RANJANAGI,
AGE: MAJOR, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O MAILESHWAR, TQ: MUDDEBIHAL,
DISTRICT VIJAYAPURA.

...RESPONDENT

THIS MFA IS FILED UNDER SECTION 74(1) OF THE RIGHT TO FAIR COMPENSATION AND TRANSPARENCY IN LAND ACQUISITION, REHABILITATION AND RESETTLEMENT ACT, 2013 PRAYING TO CALL FOR THE RECORDS IN L.A.C. NO.446/2020 PASSED BY THE LEARNED I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE AND LAND ACQUISITION, REHABILITATION AND RESETTLEMENT AUTHORITY, VIJAYAPURA, DATED

09.11.2023 AND ALLOW THE ABOVE APPEAL AND TO SET ASIDE THE JUDGMENT AND AWARD DATED 09.11.2023 PASSED BY THE I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE AND LAND ACQUISITION, REHABILITATION AND RESETTLEMENT AUTHORITY, VIJAYAPURA IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND EQUITY.

THIS APPEAL, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, JUDGMENT WAS DELIVERED THEREIN AS UNDER:

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM

ORAL JUDGMENT

(PER: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM)

The present appeal, filed under Section 74(1) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, challenges the judgment and award dated 9.11.2023 passed in LAC No.446/2020. However, the appeal is filed after a delay of 178 days beyond the statutory limitation period of 120 days prescribed under Section 74(1) of the Act. This Court, following the Division Bench ruling in MFA No.102543/2022 dated 23.09.2024, holds that the limitation period under the Act is mandatory and non-extendable. Relying on precedents, including *Union of*

India vs. Popular Construction Co. and *State of Kerala vs. V.R. Kalliyanikutty [AIR 1999 SC 1305]*, the appeal is dismissed as time-barred, without addressing the merits. Parties shall bear their respective costs.

In view of disposal of main appeal, pending applications, if any, stand rejected.

The Court fee paid, if any, shall be refunded in accordance with law.

SD/-
(SACHIN SHANKAR MAGADUM)
JUDGE

ALB
List No.: 3 Sl No.: 167