IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,

DHARWAD BENCH

DATED THIS THE 18TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2024

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI

WRIT PETITION NO. 107998 OF 2023 (KLR-RR/SUR)

BETWEEN:

SHRI. SADASHIV S/O YALLAPPA IDALI,
AGE: 58 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O: CHINCHALI, TQ: RAIBAG,
DIST: BELAGAVI, PIN CODE-591 217.

…PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SANJAY.S.KATAGERI., ADVOCATE [ABSENT])

AND:

1. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, BELAGAVI,
   BELAGAVI DISTRICT, D.C. COMPOUND,
   BELAGAVI-590 001.

2. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
   CHIKKODI SUB-DIVISION, CHIKKODI,
   TQ: CHIKKODI, DIST: BELAGAVI,
   PIN CODE-591 201.

3. THE TAHASILDAR, RAIBAG,
   TQ: RAIBAG, DIST: BELAGAVI,
   PIN CODE-591 317.

4. THE REVENUE INSPECTOR, RAIBAG HOBALI,
   TQ: RAIBAG, DIST: BELAGAVI,
   PIN CODE-591 317.

5. SHRI. MARUTI S/O YALLAPPA IDALI,
   AGE: 54 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
   R/O: CHINCHALI, TQ: RAIBAG,
   DIST: BELAGAVI, PIN CODE-591 217.

Digitally signed by PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

6. SHRI. MAHADEV S/O YALLAPPA IDALI,
AGE: 52 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O: CHINCHALI, TQ: RAIBAG,
DIST: BELAGAVI, PIN CODE-591 217.

SHRI. SUBHASH S/O YALLAPPA IDALI
SINCE DECEASED BY HIS LRS.,
AS RESPONDENTS NO.7 TO 9 HEREIN.

7. SMT. KASTURI W/O SUBHASH IDALI,
AGE: 47 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O: CHINCHALI, TQ: RAIBAG,
DIST: BELAGAVI, PIN CODE-591 217.

8. SHRI. YALLAPPA S/O SUBHASH IDALI,
AGE: 25 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURE,
R/O: CHINCHALI, TQ: RAIBAG,
DIST: BELAGAVI, PIN CODE-591 217.

9. SMT. MAYAKKA D/O SUBHASH IDALI,
AGE: 23 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD WORK,
R/O: CHINCHALI, TQ: RAIBAG,
DIST: BELAGAVI, PIN CODE-591 217.

…RESPONDENTS

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR DISMISSAL, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

When the case is called, the petitioner is not represented. When the case is listed and is called out, the advocate must be present. The absence may affect the case.

As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the petition was listed on 04.09.2024, on that day, finally two weeks time was granted to comply with the office objections, failing which, the office was directed to list this matter under the caption 'for dismissal of petition for non-compliance of office objections' on 18.09.2024.

The petition is listed today under the caption 'for dismissal'. Despite granting time, the office objections are not complied. The petitioner has not respected the Court order. As already noted above, when the case is called, the petitioner is not represented. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the petition. Hence, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution and also for non-compliance of office objections.

Sd/-
(JYOTI MULIMANI)
JUDGE

MRP
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 23