NC: 2024:KHC-D:13251
WP No. 107660 of 2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,

DHARWAD BENCH

DATED THIS THE 18TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2024

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI

WRIT PETITION NO. 107660 OF 2023 (KLR-RES)

BETWEEN:

1. SRI. MARUTI S/O BUJANG PAREET,
   AGE: 51 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURIST,

2. SRI. PUNNAPPA S/O BUJANG PAREET,
   AGE: 49 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURIST,

   BOTH ARE R/O: SUVATWADI,
   TQ: KHANAPUR, DIST: BELAGAVI-591 302.

…PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. S.R.HEGDE., ADVOCATE [ABSENT])

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA,
   R/BY ITS SECRETARY,
   REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
   M.S. BUILDING, BENGALURU-560 001.

Digitally signed by PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

2. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
   BELAGAVI, DIST: BELAGAVI-590 001.

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER,
   BELAGAVI, DIST: BELAGAVI-590 001.

4. THE TAHASILDAR,
   KHANAPUR,
   DIST: BELAGAVI-590 302.

   KRISHNAJI S/O PUNDALIK MIRASHI,
   SINCE DECEASED BY LRS.,

5. NEETA W/O ALAWANI,
   AGE: 44 YEARS, OCC: HOUSE HOLD WORK,

R/O: BHALAKE K.H., TQ: KHANAPUR,
DIST: BELAGAVI-590 302.

6. SRI. GANAPATI S/O KRISHNA MIRASHI,
AGE: 42 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURIST,
R/O: ANAGADI, TQ: KHANAPUR,
DIST: BELAGAVI-591 302.

7. SMT. SANJANA W/O SANJAY KANGRALKAR,
AGE: 38 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD WORK,
R/O: KHAIRWAD, TQ: KHANAPUR,
DIST: BELAGAVI-591 302.

RAMACHANDRA S/O TOPANNA GORAVA,
SINCE DECEASED BY LRS.,

8. SRI. SHAKNAR S/O RAMACHANDRA GORAVA,
AGE: 44 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURIST,
R/O: SUVATWADI, TQ: KHANAPUR,
DIST: BELAGAVI-591 302.

9. SRI. ARJUN S/O RAMACHANDRA GORAVA,
AGE: 42 YEARS, OCC: AGRICULTURIST,
R/O: MENDEGANI, TQ: KHANAPUR,
DIST: BELAGAVI-591 302.

10. SMT. SUJATA W/O MAHADEV NISRANI,
AGE: 40 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD WORK,
R/O: DEVARAI, TQ: KHANAPUR,
DIST: BELAGAVI-591 302.

11. SMT. SHANTA W/O VITTAL SHAPURKAR,
AGE: 38 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEHOLD WORK,
R/O: BATWADA, TQ: KHANAPUR,
DIST: BELAGAVI-591 302.

…RESPONDENTS

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR DISMISSAL, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

When the case is called, the petitioners are not represented. When the case is listed and is called out, the advocate must be present. The absence may affect the case.

As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the petition was listed on 04.09.2024, on that day, finally two weeks time was granted to comply with the office objections, failing which, the office was directed to list this matter under the caption 'for dismissal of petition for non-compliance of office objections' on 18.09.2024.

The petition is listed today under the caption 'for dismissal'. Despite granting time, the office objections are not complied. The petitioners have not respected the Court order. As already noted above, when the case is called, the petitioners are not represented. It appears that the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the petition. Hence, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution and also for non-compliance of office objections.

Sd/-
(JYOTI MULIMANI)
JUDGE

MRP
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 20