IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,

DHARWAD BENCH

DATED THIS THE 30TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2024

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI

WRIT PETITION NO. 107381 OF 2023 (LR)

BETWEEN:

SRI YALLAPPA S/O NAGAPPA DODAMANI,
SINCE DECEASED BY HIS LR'S,

1(a) SMT. MAHADEVI W/O SOMAPPA WALIKAR,
AGE. 45 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEHOLD,
R/O. HEGGERI, TQ. DHARWAD.

1(b) SMT. BASAVVA W/O. KAREPPA DODAMANI,
AGE. 55 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEHOLD,
R/O. HEGGERI, TQ. DHARWAD.

1(c) SMT. RUKMINI KAREPPA DODAMANI,
AGE. 25 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEHOLD,
R/O. HEGGERI, TQ. DHARWAD.

Digitally signed by PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

1(d) SRI. RAVI S/O. KAREPPA DODAMANI,
AGE. 22 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. HEGGERI, TQ. DHARWAD.

2. SRI. NAGAPPA YALLAPPA DODAMANI
SINCE DECEASED BY HIS LR'S,

2(a) SMT. TIPPAVVA W/O. NAGAPPA DODAMANI,
AGE. 58 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEHOLD,
R/O. HEGGERI, TQ. DHARWAD.

2(b) SMT. DODDAVVA W/O. NINGAPPA SOGALAD,
AGE. 35 YEARS, OCC. HOUSEHOLD,
R/O. HEGGERI, TQ. DHARWAD.

2(c) SRI. FAKKIRAPPA S/O. NAGAPPA DODAMANI,
AGE. 33 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. HEGGERI, TQ. DHARWAD.

2(d) SRI. SHIVAPPA S/O. NAGAPPA DODAMANI,
AGE. 31 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. HEGGERI, TQ. DHARWAD.

2(e) SRI. HANAMANT S/O. NAGAPPA DODAMANI,
AGE. 30 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. VARAVANAGALAVI, TQ. DHARWAD.

3. SRI. JADEPPA S/O. YALLAPPA DODAMANI,
AGE. 42 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. HEGGERI, TQ. DHARWAD.

…PETITIONERS

(BY SRI. B.V.SOMAPUR AND
SMT. NANDINI.B.SOMPUR., ADVOCATES [ABSENT])

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY OF
REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
M.S.BUILDING, BENGALURU.

2. THE LAND TRIBUNAL DHARWAD,
BY ITS CHAIRMAN, DHARWAD.

3. SRI. AYYAPPA S/O. BASAVARAJ DESAI,
AGE. 80 YEARS, OCC. AGRICULTURE,
R/O. HANGARAKI, TQ. DHARWAD.

…RESPONDENTS

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, SEEKING CERTAIN RELIEFS.

THIS WRIT PETITION IS LISTED FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

When the matter is called, the petitioners are not represented. When the matter is listed and is called out, the advocate must be present. The absence may affect the case.

As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the petition was listed before Addl. Registrar (Judicial) on 16.02.2024, on that day, three weeks time was granted for compliance. Again the petition was listed before the Court on 08.08.2024, on that day, finally three weeks time was granted to comply with the office objections. Despite granting time, office objections are not complied with.

The petition is listed today for orders regarding non-compliance of office objections for the third time. A perusal of the entire order sheet reveals that despite giving sufficient opportunities, the office objections are not complied with. Furthermore, the petition is filed in the year 2023. Now we are in the month of August 2024. The petition is pending for almost one year. The petitioners have not respected the Court order. As already noted above, when the matter is called, the petitioners are not represented. It appears that the petitioners

are not diligent in prosecuting the petition. Hence, the Writ Petition is ***dismissed*** for non-prosecution and also for non-compliance of office objections.

Sd/-
(JYOTI MULIMANI)
JUDGE

MRP
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 34