**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA,
DHARWAD BENCH**

DATED THIS THE 21ST DAY OF AUGUST, 2024

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI MULIMANI

REGULAR FIRST APPEAL NO. 100459 OF 2023 (PAR)

BETWEEN:

SMT. RENUKA @ SHARAVARI
W/O SHASHIKANT DESHPANDE,
AGE: 62 YEARS, OCC: HOUSEWIFE,
R/O: SHINDIKURBET VILLAGE,
TQ: GOKAK, DIST: BELAGAVI.

...APPELLANT

(BY MISS. RUKMINI PATIL., ADVOCATE FOR
SRI. SRINIVAS.B.NAIK., ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. SRI. RAMESH S/O SHADASHIV KULKARNI
SINCE DEAD BY HIS LRS.,

1(A). SMT. VIJAYALAXMI W/O RAMESH KULKARNI,
AGE: 63 YEARS,

Digitally signed by
PREMCHANDRA M R
Location: HIGH
COURT OF
KARNATAKA

1(B). SMT. SUBHADA W/O RAMESH KULKARNI,
AGE: 50 YEARS,

1(C). SMT. USHA W/O SANTOSH DESHPANDE,
AGE: 28 YEARS,

RESPONDENTS NO.1(A) TO 1(C) ARE
R/O: MAHANTESH NAGAR,
NEAR DR. NAIKWADI HOSPITAL,
GHATAPRABHA, TQ: GOKAK.

2. SHRI. SURESH S/O SADASHIV KULKARNI,
AGE: 54 YEARS, R/O: GHATAPRABHA,
TQ: GOKAK.

3. SMT. ANASUYA @ REKHA
W/O KALYAN BELAVADIKAR,
AGE: 40 YEARS, R/O: NEAR NUTAN BANK,
ICHALKARANJI, DIST: KOLHAPUR,
STATE: MAHARASTRA.

4. SRI. HARISH S/O RAMESH KULKARNI,
AGE: 35 YEARS, R/O: GHATAPRABHA,
TQ: GOKAK.

5. SRI. RAGHAVENDRA
S/O RAMESH KULKARNI,
AGE: 34 YEARS, R/O: GHATAPRABHA,
TQ: GOKAK.

6. SRI. VINAYAK S/O SURESH KULKARNI,
AGE: 19 YEARS, R/O: GHATAPRABHA,
TQ: GOKAK.

7. SRI. PUNDALIK S/O BHIMASEN RAIBAGE,
AGE: 52 YEARS, R/O: H.NO.559,
SARAFI GALLI, VADAGAON, BELAGAVI.

8. SRI. VITHAL S/O BHIMASEN RAIBAGE,
AGE: 54 YEARS, R/O: H.NO.1182,
SARAFI GALLI, VADAGAON, BELAGAVI.

9. SMT. SUJATA W/O BHIMARAO,
AGE: 45 YEARS, R/O: SHAHAPUR,
BELAGAVI.

…RESPONDENTS

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL FILED UNDER SECTION 96 OF CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908.

THIS REGULAR FIRST APPEAL IS LISTED FOR DISMISSAL, THIS DAY, AN ORDER IS MADE AS UNDER:

ORAL ORDER

Ms.Rukmini Patil., learned counsel on behalf of Sri.Srinivas B.Naik., for the appellant has appeared in person.

Counsel for the appellant seeks time to comply with the office objections.

This Court is not inclined to grant time. The reason is simple. As could be seen from the daily order sheet, the appeal was listed on 01.08.2024, on that day, when the matter was called, there was no representation on behalf of the appellant, either personally or through video conferencing. However, in the interest of justice and as a last chance, finally two weeks time was granted to comply office objections, failing which, the office was directed to list this matter under the caption 'for dismissal of appeal for non compliance of office objections' on 21.08.2024.

The appeal is listed today under the caption 'for dismissal'. Despite granting time, the office objections are not complied. The appellant has not respected the Court order. Hence, the Regular First Appeal is ***dismissed*** for non-compliance of office objections.

Sd/-
(JYOTI MULIMANI)
JUDGE

MRP
LIST NO.: 1 SL NO.: 21