

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH:: AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 11013 OF 2005



Between:

Nammi Pentamma, Visakhapatnam, w/o late Ganga Rao Near
Marripalem Market, Visakhapatnam.

...PETITIONER

AND

Div. Personal Officer, East Coast Railway, Visakhapatnam, Waltair,
Visakhapatnam.

...RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue any writ order or direction preferably one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the reply given dt.7/10/04 given by the respondent as unfair, illegal, arbitrary void and without jurisdiction and violative of principles of natural justice and unsustainable.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2005(WPMP. NO: 14112 OF 2005)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to issue a direction directing the respondents to verify the petitioners case and grant her family pension since.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI. P VIJAYA KUMARI

Counsel for the Respondents: GOURI SHANKAR SANGHI

The Court made the following: ORDER



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3457]

**MONDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 11013/2005

Between:

Nammi Pentamma, Visakhapatnam

...PETITIONER

AND

**DIV Personal Officer East Coast Railway
Visakhapatnam**

...RESPONDENT

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.P VIJAYA KUMARI

Counsel for the Respondent:

1.GOURI SHANKAR SANGHI

The Court made the following Order:

Ms.P.Vijaya Kumari, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the cause in the writ petition does not survive any further.

Considering the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner appearing virtually online, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- P.VENKATA RAMANA
JOINT REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. P VIJAYA KUMARI Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to SRI. GOURI SHANKAR SANGHI Advocate [OPUC]
3. **Three CD Copies**

HIGH COURT

DATED:22/07/2024

ORDER

WP.No.11013 of 2005



DISMISSING THE WP

AS INFRUCTOUS AND WITHOUT COSTS