IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY ,THE NINETEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITION NO: 33838 OF 2014

Between:

G. Raju, S/o. G.Appala Konda, aged about 36years, Occ: Shift Operator, 220KV Dairy farm, Visakhapatnam.

...Petitioner

AND

1. Govt. of A.P., rep. by its Principal Secretary, Energy Department of Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2. The Eastern Power Distribution Company of AP Ltd., Rep. by its Chairman & Managing Director, Sitammadhara, Visakhapatnam.
3. The Superindent Engineer, Electrical, EPDCL of AP., Visakhapatnam Circle, Visakhapatnam District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus to declaring the action on the part of the respondents herein not appointing the petitioner as Junior lineman on regular basis even though he was selected by getting 72.77% of marks under the BC(A) category by appointing candidates of less percentage of marks contrary the selection procedure column III Clause V of present notification 2012 is highly illegal, arbitrary and violation of the article 14, 16, 21 of the Constitution of India and consequentially direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner as a Junior lineman on regular basis under the present selection notification dated.2012 fourth with or

alternatively to keep one post to reserved the petitioner under the BC(A) category till final orders.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2014(WPMP. NO: 42339 OF 2014)

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the respondents to appoint the petitioner as a Junior lineman on regular basis selected by getting 72.77% of marks under the BC(A) category under Visakhapatnam region in the present selection notification dt.2012 forthwith or alternatively to keep one post reserved the petitioner under the BC(A) category till final orders of this Hon'ble court pending disposal of the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI KAMLA SEELAM B (Not Present)

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR ENERGY (AP)

Counsel for the Respondents No.2, 3: V V SATISH (SC FOR APECPDCL)

The Court made the following: ORDER

APHC010068032014

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3457]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N
WRIT PETITION NO: 33838/2014

Between:
G. Raju, **...PETITIONER**

AND

Govt Of A P and Others **...RESPONDENT(S)**

Counsel for the Petitioner:
1. KAMLA SEELAM B

Counsel for the Respondent(S):
1. V V SATISH (SC for APEPDCL)
2. GP FOR ENERGY (AP)
3. P ANAND SESHU (SC FOR APEPDCL)

The Court made the following Order:

Despite the matter being listed under the caption for dismissal, today none represented for the petitioner. On earlier occasion also, there was no representation for the petitioner.

Therefore, this Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Sd/- B PRASADA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,
1. One CC to Sri Kamla Seelam.B, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Energy (AP), High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
3. One CC to Sri P.Anand Seshu (SC for APEPDCL) Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri V V Satish (SC for APEPDCL), Advocate [OPUC]
5. Three CD Copies

GTS

HIGH COURT

DATED:19/11/2024

ORDER

WP.No.33838 of 2014



DISMISSING THE W.P. FOR NON-PROSECUTION

WITHOUT COSTS