



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 883 OF 2024

Radha Chirkutji Padwe

..vs..

State of Maharashtra and ors.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mrs. Jyoti Dongre, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. S.S. Jachak, Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

CORAM: DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ. &
VINAY JOSHI, J.
DATED : 13/11/2024.

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the
State.

2. By instituting this procedure under Article 226 of
the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made to quash
the Final Report submitted by the Investigating
Officer/Agency before the Magistrate concerned.

3. On a query as to whether the Final Report dated
22.06.2024 has been accepted by the Magistrate, learned
Counsel for the petitioner could not bring the said fact to
the notice of the Court.

4. In fact, once the Final Report was submitted by
the Investigating Officer/Agency under Section 173 of the
Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner who is the

Complainant, has the remedy of lodging of a protest petition. Even if, the Final Report submitted by the Investigating Officer/Agency has been accepted, such order passed by the Magistrate is revisable.

5. Accordingly, we are not inclined to entertain this petition. The petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to either file a protest petition, in case the impugned final report has not been accepted by the Magistrate concerned, or file a Revision, if it has been accepted.

(VINAY JOSHI, J.)

(CHIEF JUSTICE)

Trupti