



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION No.663 OF 2024

(Virendrasingh Rampukar Khairwar Vs. State of Maharashtra, through the Divisional
Commissioner, Nagpur and another)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Smt. Shweta Wankhede (Chavhan), Advocate for petitioner.
Smt. N.R. Tripathi, APP for respondents.

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE AND MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, JJ.
DATE : 09th DECEMBER, 2024.

1. Heard.
2. We have already held in couple of judgments that in the Prisons (Bombay Furlough and Prole) Rules, 1959 the advance age of surety is not an essential criteria for the purpose of admitting a prisoner to parole unless specific statutory disqualification is demonstrated.
3. In this background, we deem it appropriate to quash and set aside the impugned order dated 28th June, 2024.
4. We direct the respondent to admit the petitioner to parole on such reasonable conditions, as shall be imposed by the Jail Superintendent.

(MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.)

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)