

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 497/2024

Sohail Sheikh S/o. Dularsingh Netam

Vs.

State of Maharashtra and Ors.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
 appearances, Court's orders of directions
 and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. B. H. Tekam, Advocate for Petitioner.
 Mr. H. D. Futane, A.P.P. for Respondents/State.
 Mr. Sayyad Sufiyan, Advocate for Respondent Nos.4 to 6.

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
 MRS.VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, JJ.**

DATED : 05/07/2024.

1. Learned A.P.P. submits that the police had gone in search of the girl in place of respondent No.4, however, it was informed that the girl is in Gujarat.

2. Now, the learned Advocate Mr. Sayyad Sufiyan appears and submits that he has instructions to appear for the respondent Nos.4 to 6. He undertakes to file Vakalatnama within a period of two weeks.

3. In fact, when this Court had issued notice in the matter, it presupposes that the police should search for the girl and, if available, then should bring her before the Court. It may not have been stated in so many words when notice was issued but that is the only purport of the order. An exhaustive report should have been filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

4. Learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.4 to 6 seeks accommodation to file affidavit-in-reply. Such reply be filed within a period of two weeks from today and place

the matter for further consideration on 24.07.2024 at 2.30 p.m.

5. Respondent Nos.4 to 6 are directed to produce the girl before this Court on that day.

(MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.)

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)