



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR**

**CIVIL APPLICATION (CAW) NO. 225/2024**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 6216/2023**

M/S. R. K. SANCHETI, NAGPUR THR. ITS PARTNER, RAJENDRA KISANLAL SANCHETI AND ANR.

**...Vs...**

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THR. ITS SECRETARY (ROADS), MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS, MANTRALAYA,  
MUMBAI AND ORS.

**WITH**  
**CIVIL APPLICATION (CAW) NO. 227/2024**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 6236/2023**

**WITH**  
**CIVIL APPLICATION (CAW) NO. 228/2024**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 6230/2023**

**WITH**  
**CIVIL APPLICATION (CAW) NO. 229/2024**  
**IN**  
**WRIT PETITION NO. 6227/2023**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of  
Coram, Appearances, Court's  
orders or directions and Registrar's  
orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri A.A.Naik, Advocate for petitioners.

Shri F.T.Mirza, Advocate for respondent no. 5.

Shri A.M.Joshi, AGP for respondents/State.

**CORAM:** **AVINASH G. GHAROTE AND**  
**SMT. M.S.JAWALKAR, JJ.**

**DATED :** **23<sup>rd</sup> JANUARY, 2024.**

Today, Mr. Naik, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted the Civil Applications, across the bar, for keeping the effect and operation of the judgment dated 22/01/2024 in abeyance for a period of four weeks. The Civil Applications are accepted and office is directed to register the same.

2. He contends that on 14/09/2023, when the notices were issued, by an interim order, it was directed that the work order, if not issued, shall not be issued without obtaining leave of the Court, which order has been continued to remain in force till yesterday, when the judgment was pronounced. It is therefore, requested that the same position be continued for a period of four weeks.

3. Mr. Mirza, learned counsel for respondent no. 5 vehemently opposes the plea contending that, since the work order has already been issued and the work is of the construction of road and since five valuable months have already been wasted on account of present litigation at the behest of the petitioners, no such extension be granted.

4. Considering that, by the order dated 14/09/2023, the issuance of the work order was made subject to leave of the Court and work order is stated to have been issued today, the effect and operation of the judgment dated 22/01/2024 is stayed for a period of one week from today. It is made clear that, in regard to the construction contract, if no continuation of the said order is obtained, the respondent no. 5 shall be free to commence the work in terms of the said work order.

5. The Civil Applications stand disposed of.

(SMT. M.S.JAWALKAR, J.) (AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.)

*B.T.Khapekar*