

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 5666 OF 2024

(Ku.Sanika Uttam Choudhari vs. The State of Maharashtra and others)

*Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's order

Mr. N.D.Jambhule, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. N.S.Rao, Assistant Government Pleader for the respondent
Nos.1 and 4.

Mr. N.A.Gaikwad, Advocate for respondent Nos.2.

CORAM : BHARATI DANGRE AND ABHAY J. MANTRI, JJ.

DATE : OCTOBER 10, 2024

The learned Counsel for the petitioner has specifically placed reliance upon the decision in case of ***Priya Pramod Gajbe vs. The State of Maharashtra and others*** reported in **(2023) 9 S.C.R. 1261** and it is his specific contention that the Apex Court had directed that the entry "Mani" has to be read as entry "Mana" and therefore, according to him, despite the fact that the pre-Constitutional entries which have been gathered by the Vigilance Cell read as "Mani", he should be given the benefit by construing them as "Mana".

Since We are not inclined to grant the interim relief today, since the petitioner is in the admission process, the learned Counsel request the matter to be taken up for final hearing.

2) At his request, the matter be listed on 19/11/2024 for final disposal. In the meantime, the respondents are at liberty to file their affidavits-in-reply.

(ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.)

(BHARATI DANGRE, J.)