



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, AT NAGPUR.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2615 OF 2024

(Aniruddha Damodar Chouganjkar ..vs.. State of Maharashtra, through its Secretary, PWD, Mumbai
and others)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Yash Maheshwari, Counsel h/f. S.V. Bhutada, Counsel for the
petitioner,
Mr. M.K. Pathan, AGP for respondent Nos.1 to 5.

**CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE &
ABHAY J. MANTRI, JJ.**

DATED : 18-04-2024

Based on the administrative principles of promissory estoppel the contention of the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner is that having regard to the status of the petitioner as that of Retired Engineer with the State Government, certain benefits/privileges were conferred in the matter of allocation of various works for a period of ten years.

2. It is claimed that the Government has changed the said policy and has reduced the period for which the benefits/privileges were decided to be conferred.

3. According to him, in view of the promise made by the Government, the petitioner has already acted upon and has carried out investments in his business which has prompted into quote competitive prices against the bid.

4. The learned Counsel for the petitioner, so as to substantive his claim, has invited our attention to the fact of the petitioner having acted on the promise made by the Government.

5. The pleadings to that effect, in our opinion, are not sufficient.

6. That being so, we grant time of two weeks to the petitioner to file additional affidavit.

7. Stand over to 03-5-2024.

(ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.)

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)

adgokar