



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.61 OF 2024

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.2695 OF 2022 [D]

(Roshan Manohar Patil .vs. Pramod Gawande and one)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Ms. Bhagyashree V. Gavhale, Advocate for the petitioner,
Mr. Girish A. Kunte, Advocate for respondent nos.1 and 2.

.....

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE AND ABHAY J. MANTRI, JJ.

DATE : 14.06.2024.

1. Mr. Kunte, learned counsel appearing for the respondents has made a statement that the plan of the petitioner is already sanctioned by issuing demand note.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, who is present in the court, submits that the petitioner intends to question the demand note, as according to him, the same is unreasonable.

3. However, the petitioner has no objection in disposing of the present contempt petition.

4. As such, the petition stands disposed of as the contempt is purged.

5. Needless to clarify that the demand note is already available in Building Plan Management System.

(ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.)

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)