



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 6360 of 2024

Brahmanand Vishnuprasad Tiwari

vs.

Municipal Council, Chandur-Bazar, through its Chief Officer and others.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Mr. P. S. Patil, Advocate for petitioner.

CORAM :- NITIN W. SAMBRE AND MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, JJ.

DATE :- 18th NOVEMBER, 2024

P C.

Heard Mr. Patil, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. We dispense with the notice to the respondents as the order passed is innocuous.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that vide order dated 14.02.2022 the respondent-Municipal Council-Employer has communicated that the approval was granted to the appointment of the petitioner with effect from 25.06.1992. As such, according to petitioner, all consequential benefits and other benefits ought to have been granted to him in the light of the orders passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 2334 of 2017 (*Kailash R.Kadu vs. Director of Vocational Education and Training and others*) dated 04.06.2018 and 16.08.2024.

4. In the aforesaid backdrop, it is claimed that the representations preferred by the petitioner are still not decided by the respondent-Employer.

5. That being so, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition by directing the respondent-Municipal Council to decide the representations preferred by the petitioner which are produced at Annexure – XX to the petition in the light of the aforesaid orders passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.2334 of 2017.

6. Let the decision taken by the respondent-Employer be communicated to the petitioner within a period of 12 weeks from the date of production of copy of this order.

7. With these directions the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

(VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.)

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)

Andurkar.