



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

APPEAL AGAINST ORDER NO. 89 OF 2014

**(Agriculture Produce Market Committee, Tumsar, through its Secretary Vs. Tumsar
Municipal Council, Tumsar, through its Chief Officer)**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Shri Soumitra Paliwal, Counsel for the appellant.
Ms S.H. Bhagat h/f Shri V.B. Gawali, Counsel for
respondent no.2 (in CP 17/2016).
Shri M.I. Dhatrak, Counsel for respondent no.3 (in CP
17/2016).

.....

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE, J.
JANUARY 11, 2024.

Heard.

2] I am informed that the order that was
challenged in Appeal Against Order has been passed by
the First Appellate Court in Regular Civil Appeal No.
168/2012. This appeal has been now decided on
11/7/2018, meaning thereby, the Appeal Against Order
challenging the interim order will not survive.

3] Accordingly, the Appeal Against Order
stands disposed of as become infructuous. However, the
contempt arising out of the alleged willful disobedience of
the order passed in Appeal Against Order will continue.

CONTEMPT PETITION NOS. 17/2016 AND 219/2014

4] It appears that Contempt Petition No.
17/2016 only has been listed today. This contempt is
arising out of the order passed in Contempt Petition No.

219/2014 so also the order passed in Appeal Against Order No. 89/2014.

5] The Registry shall list both the Contempt Petitions on the next date viz. Contempt Petition Nos. 17/2016 and 216/2014.

6] Stand over to 18/1/2024.

(ANIL L. PANSARE, J.)

Sumit