



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APPR) NO.163 OF 2024 IN
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION ST NO.6196 OF 2024

SHRI VISHAL S/O MASTBAHADUR MALL

VS

SMT RASHMI W/O VISHAL MALL

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's order

Ms Vaishali Khadekar, Advocate for the applicant
Mr. G.S. Wankhede, Advocate for the non-applicants

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATE : 13th December, 2024.

1. Heard rival submissions.
2. The application is filed for condonation of delay of 302 days in challenging the order of maintenance by the applicant-husband, which is passed by the Family Court-4, Nagpur.
3. The learned counsel for the applicant-husband submits that the aforesaid order of maintenance is granted by the concerned Family Court in absence of the applicant.
4. On the contrary, the learned counsel for the non-applicants strongly opposed the application on the ground that no reasonable cause is shown in the application itself, which resulted into such a huge delay.
5. However, this Court as well as the Hon'ble Apex Court in so many matters, have already observed that delay condonation applications are to be considered liberally. The inconvenience caused to the other side can be

THAWRE

compensated by awarding suitable cost.

6. In view of the same, the application stands **allowed** and the delay of 302 days in filing revision application stands condoned, subject to cost of Rs.1,000/- to be paid to the non-applicant-wife, within one week.

7. After deposit of cost, the revision application be registered after removal of office objection, if any.

8. The application is **disposed of**.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)