



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

Civil APPLICATION (CAO) NO.1218 OF 2024
IN
ELECTION PETITION NO.3 OF 2024

Suraj Balram Mishra

Vs.

Election Commissioner of India, through its Chief Election Commissioner
and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Suraj Balram Mishra, in person.

Ms. Neerja G. Chaubey, Counsel for the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

CORAM : URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.
DATED : 08/10/2024

1. By this application, the applicant is seeking permission to appear and argue the case in person.

2. In view of Chapter IV-A Rule 2 of the Bombay High Court Appellate Side Rules, 1960, the Registrar Administration, who is a Committee Member have interviewed the applicant and submitted his report showing that the applicant is a practicing Advocate. He is well acquainted with the facts of the case. He is aware that the decorum of the Court has to be maintained. He has also furnished necessary undertaking as required by the Rules for Presentation and Conduct of Proceedings in person by parties has been given by him.

3. I have also satisfied myself whether he is acquainted with the facts of the case as well as able to argue the matter in person and whether he is able to maintain the decorum of the Court. On satisfying myself, I come to the to the conclusion that the applicant is aware about the decorum of this Court which has to be maintained and therefore, application deserves to be allowed. Accordingly, the application is allowed.

4. The applicant is permitted to appear and argue the case in person.

5. The application is disposed of.

(URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.)