



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 6153/2024

The Union of India Through it's Secretary and Ors.

Vs.

Sachin Sankar Mankar

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. P. V. Navlani, Advocate for Petitioners.

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE AND
MRS.VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, JJ.

DATED : 13/11/2024.

. Heard Mr. P. V. Navlani, the learned Counsel
appearing for the petitioners.

2. The challenge in the petition is to the order
dated 09.11.2023 passed in O.A. No.2032/2021 by the
Central Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai Bench, Camp at
Nagpur.

3. The Central Administrative Tribunal has
directed the Circle Relaxation Committee to decide the
claim of the respondent in the next CRC meeting and
communicate the same to the respondent.

4. It is the contention of learned Counsel
Mr. Navlani that the Tribunal exceeded its jurisdiction
while passing the impugned order as according to him, the
petitioners while dealing with the claim of the respondent
has followed due procedure.

5. When confronted with the findings recorded by
the Tribunal in para 6 of the impugned order, the learned
Counsel for the petitioners is unable to explain the said

stand. Para 6 of the impugned order reads thus :

“6. The counsel for the respondents submits that it will be appropriate if the matter is placed before the Circle Relaxation Committee along with the copy of the OA with its annexures for reconsideration.”

6. Apart from the above, this Court as well as the Tribunal are equally required to be sensitive to the social aspect which is sought to be achieved in the matter viz. the dependents of the deceased employee being entitled for the benefits.

7. In that view of the matter, no case for causing interference in extra ordinary jurisdiction is made out. The petition fails and stands dismissed.

(MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.)

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)