



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

FIRST APPEAL NO. 770 OF 2024

Pushpatai Vasaram Pawar,
Aged about 68 years, Occ.-Agriculturist,
R/o. Khumbharkinh, i,
Tq. Darwha, District - Yavatmal.

.... **APPELLANT**

// VERSUS //

- 1) The State of Maharashtra,
Through Collector, Yavatmal,
Tq. & Dist. Yavatmal.
- 2) The Special Land Acquisition Officer,
Darwha, Tq. Darwha, Dist. Yavatmal.
- 3) The Executive Engineer,
Kumbharkinh, i Dam Division, Pusad,
Tq. Pusad, Dist. Yavatmal.

.... **RESPONDENTS**

Mr. A. B. Nakshane, Advocate for Appellant.
Mr. S. C. Joshi, Assistant Government Pleader for Respondent
Nos.1 and 2.
Mr. Mangesh A. Kadu, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 01.08.2024.

ORAL JUDGMENT.

1. This appeal is preferred against the judgment and award passed by Civil Judge, Senior Division, Darwha, District Yavatmal dated 26.06.2013 in Land Acquisition Case No.1705/2004 (Old L.A.C. No.253/2002).

2. The challenge under this appeal is only about constructed area 10.80 sq. mtr. situated at village Kumbharkinhi, Taluka Darwha, District Yavatmal was acquired for public purpose under Kumbharkini project. Under the same project, other lands as well as constructed houses were acquired under the same notification and same purposes.

3. Learned Advocate for the appellant pointed out the judgment in ***First Appeal No.364/2016*** (*Ganesh Pundlik Deeve Vs. Executive Engineer, Kumbharkinhi Dam Division Pusad, Tq. Pusad Distt. Yavatmal and others*) dated 22nd February, 2021 wherein this Court after considering parity enhanced the compensation regarding constructed structure of the same village at the rate of Rs.3200/- per sq. mtr. He, therefore, prayed to award the same rate to the appellant.

4. Perused the impugned judgment and the judgment of this Court dated 22nd February, 2021 passed in First Appeal No.364/2016.

5. Heard learned Advocate for both sides.

6. The admitted facts are that house No.192 of village Kumbharkinhi, Tahsil Darwha, District Yavatmal was acquired admeasuring 10.80 sq. mtr. constructed area by notification dated

6.11.1998 according to the award passed on 30.12.2000. By the award of Land Acquisition Officer, construction rate of Rs.1157/- per sq. mtr was fixed and total amount of Rs.14,091/- was granted as compensation to the appellant for 10.80 sq. mtr. constructed area. The Reference Court granted Rs.2150/- per sq. mtr for construction. However, after appreciation of the evidence of the expert, this Court in the case of *Ganesh Pundlik Deeve* (cited supra) considered Rs.3,200/- per sq. mtr. rate for constructed area of same village. The appellant is also entitled for same rate of compensation. Considering this fact and applying the principle of parity, the appeal deserves to be partly allowed. The impugned judgment and award of the Reference Court deserves to be partly set aside and modified.

7. The appeal is **partly allowed**.

8. The appellant is entitled for compensation at the rate of **Rs.3,200/-** per sq. mtr. for the constructed area of 10.80 sq. mtr., to that extent impugned judgment and award deserves to be modified along with statutory benefits except the period for which the delay was caused in filing the appeal and it was condoned by this Court.

9. The respondent No.3 is directed to deposit the remaining amount as per above rate in this Court within six months.

10. After depositing the amount appellant is entitled to withdraw the same and no any further application or order is required for directions to the registry.

11. The registry is directed accordingly to pay that amount.

12. The appeal is **disposed of**.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)