



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.2253/2024

Ankita w/o Prashant Darekar

...Versus...

**State of Maharashtra, Through its Secretary, Ministry of Women and Child
Development, Mantalaya, Mumbai – 32 and others**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. G.S. Dhaye, Advocate for petitioner
Ms Payal Bawankule, AGP for respondent nos.1, 2 and 4
Mr. Milind Rathi, Advocate for respondent no.3
Mr. V.S. Giramkar, Advocate for respondent no.5

CORAM : N.R. BORKAR, J.

DATE : 25/07/2024

1. This petition takes exception to the order dated 12/02/2024 passed by respondent No.2 – Divisional Commissioner, Amravati Division, Amravati.
2. This Court on 08/05/2024 had passed the following order :

“1. The learned counsel for the respondent No.3- Zilla Parishad is praying for time to file reply in this matter.

2. Place this matter on 27/06/2024.

3. At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioner points out that vide impugned order dated 12/02/2024 the matter was remanded back to the Respondent No.3-Chief Executive Officer to take a decision within 15 days.

4. It is submitted that if the decision is taken as per the directions issued by the Divisional Commissioner, the purpose of filing of the petition would get frustrated. He,

therefore, prays for stay to the impugned directions to issue order within 15 days after making enquiry.

5. In the above referred backdrop, the Respondent No.3-Chief Executive Officer is permitted to go ahead with the enquiry, but he is precluded from issuing any appointment order, till next date.”

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 has tendered the enquiry report. The report is taken on record and marked “X” for the purpose of identification. According to enquiry report the appointment of the petitioner was proper.

4. In that view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition.

5. The writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

6. Respondent No.3 shall take appropriate decision in accordance with the enquiry report. No order as to costs.

(N.R. BORKAR, J.)