



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 794/2023 IN
MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW)(ST) NO. 6076/2023 IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 652/2020(D)

(Ku. Sharda Uttamdas Rathod Vs. The State of Maharashtra & ors.)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. A.D. Dangore, Advocate for applicant.
Mr. J.Y. Ghurde, AGP for non-applicant No.1/State.

CORAM: NITIN W. SAMBRE AND
MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, JJ.

DATED : 19/12/2024.

Heard.

2. The prayer is for reviewing the order dated 03.02.2023 delivered in Writ Petition No. 652/2020.

3. The non-applicant No.4 was appointed vide order dated 16.03.2016 which was questioned in 2020 i.e. after a period of almost about four years.

4. The explanation is coming forth from the petitioner that in the interregnum i.e. from the date of appointment till the filing of this petition, the petitioner has preferred various representations which were not decided.

5. Merely because representations were preferred that by itself does not mean that the claim of the petitioner has been brought within a reasonable period. The fact remains

that the claim has been made at a belated stage.

6. Apart from above, the petitioner has a remedy to take recourse under Rule 14 of the Maharashtra Zilla Parishads District Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1964.

7. For the aforesaid reasons, we are of the view, that there is no case for causing interference in review jurisdiction. Hence, application stands rejected.

(MRS. VRUSHALI V. JOSHI, J.)

(NITIN W.SAMBRE, J.)