

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1364 OF 2023

Martha Babu Shingare

Vs.

State of Maharashtra through Deputy Director of Education, Amravati and others

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Shri Z.Z. Haq, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms R.V. Sharma, AGP for respondent No.1
Shri J.B. Kasat, Advocate for respondent No.2
Shri Zia Quazi, Advocate for respondent No. 3

CORAM : AVINASH G. GHAROTE AND
SMT M.S. JAWALKAR, JJ.

DATE : 19.01.2024

Mr, Quazi, learned Counsel for the for respondent No. 3, upon instructions, makes a statement that the resolution dated 10/06/2016, which indicates transfer of the petitioner, indicates it incorrectly, as what been done was a fresh appointment. However, the resolution dated 10/06/2016 (page 25), indicates that it is a transfer. The order of appointment dated 10/06/2016 (pg 26), refers to the resolution dated 10/06/2016 and therefore the authorities were justified in treating the resolution on the basis of it. Since it is now contended that the petitioner was not transferred but appointed afresh, in another institution the respondent No. 3 will have to appropriately correct the resolution and send a fresh proposal, which the respondent No. 3 agrees to do, within a period of two

weeks from today. In case such afresh proposal with a correct resolution is sent, the competent authority shall consider it and pass appropriate orders, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of such proposal. The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Jayashree..