



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 57 OF 2021

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2099 OF 2006, 519 OF 2003
1820 OF 2012 AND 5094 OF 2011

(Ku.Sunanda d/o Wasudeo Dalal and others vs. Roshni dinesh Kadam Patil and others)

*Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's order

Mr. S.R.Narnaware, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms.N.P.Mehta, Addl. GP for sole respondent.

CORAM : NITIN W. SAMBRE AND ABHAY J. MANTRI, JJ.

DATE : FEBRUARY 01, 2024

In view of the affidavit tendered by respondent, Mr.Narnaware, learned counsel for the petitioners on instructions states that the order is complied with and as such the Contempt Petition can be disposed of.

2) He would claim that in case, if any fresh action is initiated against the petitioners pursuant to the outcome of the Special Leave Petition, which is said to be pending before the Apex Court as reflected in the compliance affidavit, the petitioners be granted liberty to approach afresh.

3) As such we grant liberty to the petitioners to approach afresh, in case of accrual of fresh cause of action.

(ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.)

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)