

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
TESTAMENTARY AND INTESTATE JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION (L) NO.5232 OF 2024
IN
COMMERCIAL SUIT NO.200 OF 2022

Ecstasy Relity Private Limited ...Plaintiff
vs.
Catalyst Trusteeship Limited and Ors. ...Defendants

Mr. Sharan Jagtiani, Senior Advocate a/w. Mr. Neel Kothari, Mr. Rishabh Dhanuka and Ms. Sakshi Dube i/b. A & D Legal, for the Plaintiff.

Mr. Naushad Engineer a/w. Ms. Shreya Jha, Mr. Vivek Shetty, Mr. N.F. Bhilla and Mr. Prince T. i/b. AZB & Partners, for Defendant No.1
Mr. Dhruva Gandhi a/w. Mr. Sunil Tilokchandani and Ms. Drishti Bhindora i/b. Manilal Kher Ambalal & Co., for Defendant No. 2.

Mr. Malhar Zatakia a/w. Mr. Jinal Gogri and Megh Soni i/b. Crawford Bayley & Co., for Defendant Nos. 3 and 4.

CORAM : N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATE : JUNE 24, 2024

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.
2. Mr. Engineer, the learned counsel for defendant No. 1 seeks time to consider the affidavit in rejoinder filed on behalf of the plaintiff, a copy of which has been served on the defendant No. 1 recently.
3. Mr. Zatakia, the learned counsel for defendant Nos. 3 and 4 seeks time to file affidavit in reply.
4. Mr. Jagtiani, learned senior counsel for the plaintiff makes a grievance that the defendants No. 3 and 4 have not filed affidavits in reply despite ample opportunity.

5. By way of indulgence, one weeks time is granted to defendant Nos. 3 and 4 to file affidavit in reply and serve copy on the plaintiff.
6. Re-joinder, if any, within one week thereafter.
7. It is hereby made clear that no further time to file affidavit in reply would be granted to any of the defendants, who have till date not filed affidavit in reply.
8. Stand over to 22nd July, 2024.

(N. J. JAMADAR, J.)