

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 986 OF 2024
IN
INCOME TAX APPEAL(IT)(ST) NO. 28625 OF 2022

Pr Commissioner Of Income Tax 1 Pune	...Applicant
Versus	
Capgemini Technology Services India Ltd	...Respondent

Mr. Suresh Kumar i/b Mohinee Chougule for Applicant.
Mr. P.C. Tripathi i/b Atul Jasani a/w Ruturaj H. Gurjar a/w Jasmin Amisadwala i/b
Lumiere law Partner for Respondent.

CORAM: G. S. KULKARNI &
 ADVAIT M. SETHNA, JJ.

Date: 11 DECEMBER, 2024.

P.C.

1. We have heard Mr. Kumar, learned counsel for the Applicant/revenue and Mr. P.C. Tripathi, learned counsel for the respondent.
2. By this application, the applicant has prayed for condonation of delay of 71 days in filing the aforesaid appeal under the provisions of Section 260A of the Income Tax Act, 1961.
3. We have perused the averments as made in the application, which make out a sufficient case for the delay to be condoned. Also, there is no written opposition placed on record on behalf of the respondent to this Application, although an oral opposition.
4. In the aforesaid circumstances, it is in the interests of justice that the application is allowed. It is accordingly allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).
5. Office objections, if any, be removed within a period of eight weeks from today, failing which the appeal shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Court.

(ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J.)

(G. S. KULKARNI, J.)