

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION
COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PETITION NO.222 OF 2024**

Elpro International Limited

... Petitioner

Versus

Shripal Morakhia And Anr.

... Respondents

Mr. Shayan Dasgupta i/b. Khaitan & Co., Advocate for the Petitioner.

CORAM : ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.

DATE : 16TH JULY 2024

P.C. :

1. The learned counsel today submits that in so far as Respondent No.2 is concerned, Respondent No.2 has been duly served. He submits that Petitioners have been unable to serve Respondent No.1, since it was found that when service was attempted on Respondent No.1, one of the addresses of Respondent No.1 was sealed and on other address, Respondent No.1 moved away from. It is thus, he seeks substituted service.

2. The Petitioners are permitted to serve Respondent No.1 through

substituted service and hence, is directed to serve Respondent No.1 through substituted service by publishing notice in two newspapers; one in English and one in Hindi circulated in Mumbai.

2. Renotify on 6th August 2024.

(ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.)