

Sumedh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 55 OF 2024
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1802 OF 2024

Goldie Sud ...Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai & ...Respondents
Ors

Mr Anoshak Davar, with Mansi Jain, i/b Samatva Legal Associates,
for the Petitioner.

Mr Anil Singh, Senior Advocate, with Aadesh Vyas i/b SK
Sonawane, for the Respondent-BMC.

Mr Prashant Kamble, AGP, for the Respondent-State.

CORAM: M.S. Sonak &
Kamal Khata, JJ
DATED: 29th August 2024

PC:-

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The Petitioner had alleged contempt of our order dated 21 March 2024.

3. However, it is now pointed out that there was a belated compliance and the documents in question were supplied to the Petitioner. The Petitioner appeared before the authorities for the hearing and after that, the authorities have communicated their decisions to the Petitioner. The decision is questioned in a separate Writ Petition and even interim relief has been obtained.

4. Mr Davar, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw this Petition or not to press, given the above developments and the institution of the Writ Petition. He seeks leave to withdraw this Petition with liberty to peruse the Writ Petition (L) No. 21554 of 2024.

5. Considering all such developments, we dispose of this Petition as withdrawn with liberty to the Petitioner pursue the Writ Petition filed to challenge the BMC's decision.

(Kamal Khata, J)

(M.S. Sonak, J)