

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

**TESTAMENTARY PETITION NO.3304 OF 2024**

VISHAL  
SUBHASH  
PAREKAR

Digitally signed by  
VISHAL SUBHASH  
PAREKAR

Date: 2024.09.20  
09:32:38 +0530

Renu Ramachandran ...Petitioner

vs.

Meloth Hari Krishnan ...Defendant

Ms. Hetvi Savla i/b.R. Bhargavan & Associates, for the Petitioner.

**CORAM : N. J. JAMADAR, J.**

**DATE : SEPTEMBER 18, 2024**

**P.C.:**

1. Not on board. Mentioned by way of praecipe. Taken on board.
2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. The Department has raised objection that the surety to the Administration Bond is a resident of Thane.
4. This Court has taken a view that it is not the requirement of the rules that the surety should be a resident of Mumbai or Maharashtra. Thus the objection raised by the Department stands dispensed with.
5. Department to accept the Administration Bond with the surety being a resident of Thane.

Praecipe disposed.

**(N. J. JAMADAR, J.)**